

NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY AND JUDICIAL ACADEMY, ASSAM

PROGRAMME: BA.LL.B. (HONS) FYIC

DETAILS OF COURSE OFFERED

ODD SEMESTER (VII) - ACADEMIC YEAR 2023-2024

SL. NO	COURSE CODE	COURSE TITLE	L	T/P	CR	СН
1	BL704 IL OP- II	INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW —II	4 PER WEEK	1 PER WEEK	4	

A. CODE AND TITLE OF THE COURSE: BL704.9, INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW-II

B. COURSE CREDIT: 4 (200 MARKS)

C. MEDIUM OF INSTRUCTION: ENGLISH

D. COURSE COMPILED BY: MR. DEBASIS PODDAR

E. COURSE INSTRUCTOR: MR. DEBASIS PODDAR

1. Course Objectives

The main objectives of the course are as follows;

- To study the evolution and development of the environmental law in various jurisdictions of the world;
- To understand the social and physical complexities environmental issues and how these complexities influence the evolution of environmental laws;
- The complex physical and social nature of environmental problems and how that leads to specific features of environmental law;
- Basic theories of court-based adjudication and the strengths and weaknesses of them in relation to environmental law:
- Overview of the development of the role of courts and tribunals in NZ, Australia, UK, and US with a particular emphasis on specialist environmental courts and tribunals
- An analysis of debates about access to courts in environmental law cases that cover issues to do with standing, costs, and court procedure;
- To critically evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of different environmental legal systems across the globe;
- To explore the similarities and differences between environmental laws of two or more countries in the world;
- To study the comparative environmental law methods as a tool in the administration of justice through courts of the countries;
- Overview of the roles that courts play in developing doctrine in publicand private law;
- A study of how courts have developed legal doctrine on the basis of environmental principles;
- A study of how courts have developed doctrine in regards toenvironmental impact assessment and resource management issues; &
- A study of the role of courts in relation to climate change issues

2. TEACHING METHODOLOGY

- Collegial presentation;
- Interactive pedagogical techniques;
- Case study method;
- Articles based discussions;
- Debate oriented and negotiation rounds on critical environmentalissues;
- Legislative and case analysis of landmark and latest legal instruments and case laws respectively;
- Documentary screening and open house discussions

3. Course Learning Outcomes.

On the completion of this course students should be able to:

- Appreciate the social and physical complexity of environmental problems and how that complexity shapes environmental law;
- Understand basic theories of adjudication and the challengesenvironmental problems create for the operation of those theories;
- Understand how courts and tribunals have evolved to addressenvironmental problems;
- Understand the procedural and institutional aspects of courtsadjudicating upon environmental law matters:
- Understand and identify the major challenges involved in courtsdeveloping doctrine in regards to environmental law; &
- Comment critically about environmental law case law.

4. Course evaluation method.

The course shall be assessed for 200 marks. The Evaluation scheme would be as follows:

Sl. No.	Marks Distribution			
1	Seminar Paper	60 marks		
2	Seminar Paper presentation	30 marks		
3	Moot Memorial	50 marks		
4	Moot Oral	50 marks		
5	Attendance in class	10 marks		
	Total	200 marks		

5. DETAILED STRUCTURE OF THE COURSE (SPECIFYING COURSE MODULES AND SUB-MODULES)

MODULE I

A. The Common Law as an Environmental Protection Tool and Comparative

Environmental Constitutionalism

- Comparative Tort Law
- The Substantive Parameters of Environmental Torts
- Comparative Environmental Constitutionalism
- Environmental Performance Index 2016

B. Comparative Disaster Management Laws

- United States
- Japan
- India

MODULE II

Keystone environmental laws governing water

- United States- Discharges of pollutants from point sources, discharge permitsand cooperative federalism
- Canada- Federal Water Pollution and Water quality laws, provincial approaches to water pollution and case study of Canada's oil sands
- India- Water preservation and protection against pollution laws and case laws

MODULE III

Comparative Atmospheric Pollution Laws & EIA

- China
- India
- United States
- European Union

Environmental Impact Assessment- Findings of No Significant Impact, Supplements, and Exclusions & Environmental Assessment Requirements of Other Countries

- World Bank
- United States
- Germany
- India
- Case Study: Canada's Pulp Mills, Oil Sands, and Reform Proposals
- The Scope and Relative Effectiveness of EIA Requirements
- International Agreements and Directives- Espoo and Aarhus.

MODULE IV

Comparative Wildlife Legislations

- India- sanctuaries, and other biodiversity reserves
- United States- Wildlife Refugees, Wilderness and Parks, species specific legislations

• New Zealand- The conservation estate, biosecurity

Relevant books and articles

- Michael G. Faure and Jason S. Johnston, in The Law and Economics of Environmental Federalism: Europe and the United States Compared, 27 Virginia Environmental Law Journal 205 (2009).
- Kathryn A. Perales, It Works Fine in Europe, So Why Not Here? Comparative Law and Constitutional Federalism, 23 Vermont Law Review 885 (1999).
- Mathias Reimann, Stepping Out of the European Shadow: Why Comparative Law in the United States Must Develop its Own Agenda, 6 American Journal of Comparative Law 637 (1998).
- Paul A. Barresi, Mobilizing the Public Trust Doctrine in Support of Publicly Owned Forests as Carbon Dioxide Sinks in India and the United States, 23 Colorado Journal of International Environmental Law & Policy 39 (2012).
- Albert C. Lin, Beyond Tort: Compensating Victims of Environmental Toxic Injury,78 Southern California Law Review 1439 (2005) reprinted with permission of the Southern California Law Review.
- Sarah Michaels and Owen J. Furuseth, Innovation in Environmental Policy: The National Environmental Policy Act of the US and the Resource Management Act of New Zealand, 17 The Environmentalist 181 (1997).
- Ravi Singhania and Sunayna Jaimini, Lafarge Decision—Light at the End of the Tunnel?, 2 Indian Law News 18 (American Bar Association Section of International Law Newsletter 2011). © Copyright 2011 by the American Bar Association.
- William A. Tilleman, Public Participation In The Environmental Impact Assessment Process: A Comparative Study of Impact Assessment in Canada, The

- United States and the European Community, 33 Columbia Journal of Transnational Law 337 (1995).
- Mary Williams Walsh, Environmental Law in Canada Comes of Age, Los Angeles
 Times F1, April 8, 1990. Copyright © 1990 Los Angeles Times.
- Christopher Wood, Environmental Impact Assessment: A Comparative Review (2nded. 2003). © Copyright 2003 Pearson Education, Harlow, UK.
- Peter Bernard and Andrew P. Mayer, A Tale of Two Sovereigns: Canada, The United States, and Trans-Border Pollution Issues, 13 U.S. Maritime Law Journal125 (2000–2001).
- Michael J. Robinson-Dorn, The Trail Smelter, Is What's Past Prologue? EPA Blazes a New Trail for CERCLA, 14 N.Y.U. Environmental Law Journal 233 (2006).
- William Howarth, Water Quality and Land Use Regulation Under the Water Framework Directive, 23 Pace Environmental Law Review 351 (2006).
- M.C. Mehta, The Accountability Principle: Legal Solutions to Break Corruption's Impact on India's Environment, 21 Journal of Environmental Law & Litigation 141 (2006).
- Joshua Walters and Shi-Ling Shu, Saving the Northern Spotted Owl in British
 Columbia(2008),atwww.law.ubc.ca/files/pdf/enlaw/SpottedOwlCase04_20_09.pdf.
- Shalini Bhutani and Ashish Kothari, The Biodiversity Rights of Developing Nations: A Perspective From India, 32 Golden Gate Law Review 587 (2002).
- Owen Furuseth and Chris Cocklin, An Institutional Framework For Sustainable
 Resource Management: The New Zealand Model, 35 Natural Resources Journal 243(1995).

- Oliver A. Houck, Tales from a Troubled Marriage: Science and Law in
 Environmental Policy, 17 Tulane Environmental Law Journal 163 (2003). Reprinted with permission of the Tulane Environmental Law Journal, which holds the copyright.
- Michael Stockdale, English and American Wildlife Law: Lessons from the Past, 47
 Proc. Annual Conf. Southeast Assoc. Fish and Wildlife Agencies 732 (1993).
- Mitsuhiko A. Takahashi, Are the Kiwis Taking a Leap? Learning from the Biosecurity Policy of New Zealand, 24 Temple Journal of Science, Technology &Environmental Law 461 (2005).
- Benjamin A. Kahn, The Legal Framework Surrounding Maori Claims to Water Resources In New Zealand: In Contrast to the American Indian Experience, 35
 Stanford Journal of International Law 49 (1999).
- Peter Manus, Indigenous Peoples' Environmental Rights: Evolving Common Law Perspectives in Canada, Australia, and the United States, 33 B.C. Environmental Affairs Law Review 1 (2006).
- James Salzman, Thirst: A Short History of Drinking Water, 18 Yale Journal of Law and Humanities 94 (2006)